UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Reginald Allen, Petitioner	
vs	Case No. C-1-01-810 (Dlott, J.; Sherman, M.J.)
Alan Lazaroff, Respondent	(Diou, v., Shorman, 111.v.)
	ORDER

This matter is before the Court upon a petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254 (see Doc. 1), as well as a motion for bond pending disposition of this matter filed by petitioner's counsel on September 29, 2003 (see Doc. 2). Occasionally, a case filed with the Court is not brought to the proper court personnel's attention for processing. It appears from the Court's docket records that this is such a case, as respondent has not been served with a copy of the petition or otherwise been placed on notice of the instant cause of action.

Now that the matter has been brought to the Court's attention by way of petitioner's recently filed motion for bond, and good cause appearing therefor, respondent is hereby ORDERED pursuant to Rules 4 and 5 of the Rules Governing § 2254 Cases in the United States District Courts, 28 U.S.C. foll. § 2254, to file within thirty (30) days of the date of this Order a return of writ responding to the allegations of the petition, as well as a memorandum responding to petitioner's pending motion for bond. The return of writ should include the following:

- 1. Certify the true cause of petitioner's detention.
- 2. Allege specifically whether or not petitioner has previously filed a

petition for habeas corpus relief in federal court, giving the names of the courts in which petitioner has sought release, together with any citations to such prior action. Indicate whether or not the instant petition is successive pursuant to 28 U.S.C.A. § 2244(b) based on such prior action(s).

- 3. Allege as to each ground raised by petitioner whether he has exhausted his state remedies, including any post-conviction remedies, as well as his rights of appeal both from the judgment of conviction and from any adverse judgment or order in any post-conviction proceeding.
- 4. Allege whether petitioner's claims are barred by the one-year statute of limitations established in 28 U.S.C.A. § 2244(d).
- 5. Allege, pursuant to *Stone v. Powell*, 428 U.S. 465 (1976), whether petitioner has been previously afforded in the courts of Ohio an opportunity for full and fair litigation of any of his claims now brought by way of habeas corpus.
- 6. Allege, pursuant to *Engle v. Isaac*, 456 U.S. 107 (1982), whether any of petitioner's claims are barred by a state law procedural default.
- 7. Show cause -- supported by a detailed answer to petitioner's allegations, and by records, transcripts, affidavits, and other documentary evidence -- why a writ of habeas corpus should not issue.
- 8. If petitioner has alleged that his conviction was against the weight of the evidence, cite those pages of the trial transcript upon which respondent will rely to establish that there was sufficient evidence to justify a rational trier of fact to find guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.
- 9. Indicate what transcripts of pretrial, trial, sentencing, and post-conviction proceedings are available, when they can be furnished, and what proceedings have been recorded but not transcribed.
 - 10. Attach such portions of the transcripts as respondent deems relevant.
- 11. Allege whether or not a hearing is necessary and whether or not it is permitted under the criteria of *Townsend v. Sain*, 372 U.S. 293, 313 (1963), as amended by 28 U.S.C.A. § 2254(e)(2).

It is further ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court shall serve a copy of the petition, petitioner's bond motion and this Order by mail on the respondent and the Ohio Attorney General. This service shall constitute notice to the Attorney General.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Date: 10/03/03	s/Jack Sherman, Jr.
cbc	Jack Sherman, Jr.
	United States Magistrate Judge

P:\JS\LAW CLERK filings\01cv810ord.wpd